

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,090	07/09/2003	Michael T. Carley	701879.4005	1941	
34313	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			DAVIS, DANIEL J		
4 PARK PLAS SUITE 1600	ZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			3731	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

â			$\mathfrak{S}$	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>	
Office Action Commence	10/617,090	CARLEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	D. Jacob Davis	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-1	- 05 -			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		erits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1.3 and 4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		) (-1) ( <b>0</b> )		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		)-(a) or (t).		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Sta	age	
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/9/03 6/24/04</u>.</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,090

Art Unit: 3731

### DETAILED ACTION

# Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1 of the specification, patent number 6,623,510 is identified but the patent is not specified as a <u>U.S.</u> patent.

The serial numbers of the applications may now be included into the specification where only an attorney docket number is referenced.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3731

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "one or more expandable elements." Claims 1 and 4 then recite "the expandable elements." It is unclear whether claim 1 requires one or multiple expandable elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,674,231 to Green et al. Green discloses a method for closing an opening (Abstract). Inherently, the elongate member 68 is inserted through a patient's skin to reach the vessel. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to advance the elongate member through skin and along a passage through tissue to reach a vessel.

Elements 62 and 64 comprise the obturator. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the elongate member 68 at least partially within the vessel such that the expandable elements 62a and 62b are inserted sufficiently into the vessel to expand completely within the vessel and not further rip the vessel hole.

Art Unit: 3731

Since the expandable elements 62b and 64b are used to maintain proper positioning of the device with respect to the vessel hole, it may be concluded that expandable elements are

inherently be withdrawn proximally to some degree (probably through natural jostling of a hand) and contact the vessel wall. The expandable elements contacting the vessel wall will inherently

designed to substantially limit distal or proximal movement. Implicitly, the device will

provide a tactile indication to the user regarding the location of the vessel wall.

The clip is advanced over the elongate member 68 by a carrier 30 and 34. The tines of the clip bite into the vessel between the expandable elements as seen in Fig. 19. A set of tines on one arm may be considered the "primary tines" while the tines on another arm may be considered the "secondary tines."

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: U.S. Patent No. 6,113,612 to Swanson et al.

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

GLENN K. D. ....ON PRIMARY EXAMINER